Vol. 4, Iss. 2, December 2024
http://dx.doi.org/10.21622/ILCC.2024.04.2.970

AI Meets Academia: The FIRE Model's Vision for Enhanced Learning

Nancy Mohamed Afifi

EAP Lecturer and TESOL MA Holder, College of Language & Communication (CLC),
Arab Academy for Science, Technology & Maritime Transport
Country, Egypt.

E-Mail: nancy.m.afifi@gmail.com

Received on: 20 August 2024 Accepted on: 21 September 2024 Published on: 29 September 2024

ABSTRACT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has transformed pedagogical methodologies, particularly within university academic writing courses, offering unprecedented levels of personalization, efficiency, and interactive learning. This research introduces the Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model), a novel educational framework designed to harmonize AI capabilities with the critical and empathetic roles of human educators. The FIRE Model aims to enhance both the operational aspects of education and the quality of student learning experiences while maintaining academic integrity and fostering critical thinking. Despite the advantages of AI in educational settings, such as improved accessibility and administrative efficiency, concerns persist regarding academic integrity and the authentic development of student skills. AI's capacity to generate complex written outputs poses significant challenges to traditional evaluation methods and potentially undermines the development of critical thinking. The FIRE Model addresses these issues by integrating AI in a supportive role, enhancing rather than replacing traditional educational interactions. It proposes a structured, phased approach that emphasizes the synergy between AI tools and human oversight, ensuring a balanced educational experience that fosters academic excellence and ethical development. This paper explores the implications of the FIRE Model, discussing its potential to revolutionize academic writing instruction by providing a detailed framework for the effective integration of AI. The discussion extends to the model's adaptability across different educational contexts and its role in promoting a deeper, more critical engagement with content. The research contributes to the discourse on AI in education by demonstrating how intelligently integrated AI can enhance the learning environment without compromising the essential human elements of teaching and learning. The FIRE Model offers significant insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers aiming to leverage AI technologies while preserving the integrity and efficacy of educational practices.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Educational Technology, FIRE Model, Higher Education, Human-AI Collaboration, Pedagogical Methodologies, Personalized Learning.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The contemporary landscape of higher education is witnessing a transformative shift with the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in academic settings, particularly in the realm of academic writing. This evolution is driven by the potential of AI to enhance teaching methodologies and learning experiences through increased personalization, interactivity, and efficiency. As highlighted by Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019), AI's role in education extends beyond administrative tasks to reshape pedagogical strategies, making learning more accessible and engaging for students across various disciplines. Furthermore, Domenech (2023) underscores how AI technologies such as ChatGPT have revolutionized the educational sphere by introducing capabilities like automatic grading and tailored learning experiences, thereby streamlining the educational process and allowing for more dynamic interaction between students and educational content.

Despite these significant advancements, the deployment of AI technologies in education is accompanied by substantial challenges and debates, particularly concerning academic integrity and the authenticity of student work. Eke (2023) and Stokel-Walker (2022) raise critical concerns about the ability of AI to generate text across multiple formats, which poses a risk to the maintenance of academic standards and integrity. The ease with which students can now produce complex written works through AI assistance calls into question the authenticity of their intellectual efforts and the development of their critical thinking skills.

This burgeoning reliance on AI in educational settings has sparked a considerable debate about its role and implications. While AI offers remarkable tools for enhancing educational accessibility and efficiency, there is a growing need to address how these technologies are reshaping student learning experiences and the potential consequences for academic honesty. This debate underscores a significant research gap in the integration of AI with traditional educational methods—a gap that suggests the absence of a comprehensive framework to harmonize AI advancements with the core values of educational integrity and personalized learning.

Addressing this gap, the present research introduces the Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model), an innovative approach designed to integrate AI tools effectively with human teaching methodologies. The FIRE Model aims to leverage the operational efficiencies of AI while enhancing the educational process through the irreplaceable elements of human interaction, thereby ensuring a balanced educational experience that fosters not only academic excellence but also ethical and critical development.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to provide actionable insights into the balanced integration of AI in educational practices. It proposes the FIRE Model as a blueprint for educational institutions aiming to harness the benefits of AI technologies without undermining the integrity and quality of education. This model facilitates a synergistic collaboration between AI and human educators, enhancing the personalization and effectiveness of the learning experience while maintaining rigorous academic standards and fostering the development of critical thinking and ethical reasoning among students.

In structuring this paper, the initial focus will be on exploring the transformative impact of AI on education, elucidating both its benefits and inherent challenges. The discussion will then shift to a detailed examination of the FIRE Model, articulating each phase of its implementation and elucidating the roles that AI and human educators play within this integrated framework. The subsequent sections will delve into the implications of adopting the FIRE Model, offering strategic recommendations for its application and identifying avenues for future research. The conclusion will synthesize the findings, emphasizing their relevance to the broader educational landscape and the potential of the FIRE Model to fundamentally enhance academic writing courses at universities.

By providing a comprehensive overview of the FIRE Model and its potential to revolutionize academic writing instruction, this research aims to contribute significantly to the discourse on AI in education. It seeks to bridge the current research gap by presenting a model that not only enhances educational efficiency and personalization but also ensures the development of essential skills such as critical thinking, creativity, and ethical judgment. This introduction sets the stage for a nuanced discussion on integrating AI in educational settings, aiming to illuminate the paths through which technology and tradition can coalesce to foster a richer, more effective educational environment.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Transformative Integration of AI in Higher Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has catalyzed a transformative shift in pedagogical methodologies, significantly enhancing the learning environment. Technologies such as ChatGPT have revolutionized traditional educational practices by introducing elements like automatic grading, personalized learning experiences, and interactive human-like interactions, thus making education not only more efficient but also more effective. These advancements, as noted by Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) and Domenech (2023), signify a substantial evolution in educational delivery, aligning with the increasing demands of modern learners for engaging and responsive educational experiences.

Further exploring the capabilities of AI, OpenAI's ChatGPT stands as a prime example of how generative AI systems can revolutionize the educational sphere. Its ability to produce text, summaries, and answers across various domains underscores the versatility and utility of AI as a pivotal tool in digital communication. These AI-driven tools extend their utility to curriculum development, personalizing learning experiences, and optimizing resource allocation, thereby not only enhancing student learning outcomes but also broadening access to education, as highlighted by Arya (2024), AlZaabi et al. (2023), and Domenech (2023). The integration of such technology promises a more tailored and accessible educational journey for students, catering to diverse learning needs and preferences.

However, the integration of AI in education is not without its challenges. While AI offers numerous benefits, including enhanced teaching strategies and improved critical thinking skills among students, it also raises significant concerns related to academic integrity and honesty. The capacity of AI technologies like ChatGPT to generate content that spans multiple formats presents a formidable challenge to traditional academic evaluation methods, potentially undermining the maintenance of academic standards. Eke (2023) and Stokel-Walker (2022) have articulated concerns regarding the ease of access to AI-generated content and the difficulty in detecting such content, which could complicate efforts to uphold academic integrity and heighten ethical concerns regarding plagiarism and intellectual property.

The expansion of AI in higher education is anticipated to continue growing, promising to redefine teaching and learning methodologies. However, this path is full of hurdles such as cost implications, scalability issues, and data privacy concerns, which could stymie the full integration of AI educational technologies. Despite these obstacles, the potential benefits of integrating AI in educational settings are significant, as they can profoundly improve the operational aspects and educational practices of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), marking a pivotal moment in the evolution of higher education (Huang, Li, and Taylor 2020; Luckin and Holmes 2016; Yang 2019).

At this critical juncture, universities play a pivotal role in fostering an environment conducive to the adoption of AI in education. It is essential for educational leaders to recognize the transformative potential of AI and to advocate for and support the development and integration of educational technologies. Leaders must acquire a robust understanding of AI and its applications to effectively guide their institutions through the complexities of adopting such technologies (Qin, Li, and Yan 2020; Mogaji and Jain 2020; Hinson and Mogaji 2020). The subsequent sections of this paper will delve deeper into the specific roles AI can play in enhancing academic writing courses, illustrating how these technologies can contribute significantly to the educational landscape by improving essential skills for academic success.

2.2 The Role of AI in Enhancing English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Writing Skills

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in teaching English as a Foreign Language (EFL) has significantly transformed the educational landscape by enhancing both the quality of instruction and the outcomes of learning. Tools driven by AI, such as Grammarly and Quillbot, have not only improved students' writing skills but have also been well-received, underscoring a broad recognition of the benefits that AI brings to language learning. This acknowledgment highlights the increasing reliance on AI to make educational experiences more engaging and tailored to individual needs, thereby fostering an environment that is conducive to greater educational attainment and skill development (Han et al. 2023).

Despite the positive impacts, the adoption of AI in EFL education faces significant challenges, particularly with issues like plagiarism and paraphrasing. Many students struggle with these aspects, often resorting to copying texts due to limited vocabulary, grammatical challenges, and difficulties in altering sentence structures. This tendency not only undermines academic integrity but also stunts the development of essential writing skills, presenting a serious concern in academic settings (Frodesen 2007; Sun 2009; Akbar 2020; Derakhshan and Shirejini 2020; Arifuddin 2021; Choy and Lee 2012; Na and Nguyen 2017; Phakiti and Li 2011). To combat these challenges, Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (TELL) and Technology-Enhanced Paraphrasing Tools (TEPT) such as Quillbot have been effectively utilized. These tools not only assist in paraphrasing and avoiding plagiarism but also play a pivotal role in enhancing grammatical accuracy and improving students' awareness and performance in constructing original sentences, thereby enriching the learning experience in EFL writing education (Cañado 2018; Styati and Irawati 2020; Kawinkoonlasate 2019; Fitria 2021; Choi 2012).

Moreover, the employment of AI-powered writing tools in EFL classrooms offers significant advantages, especially for learners with lower English proficiency. These tools provide instant feedback, allowing for quicker improvements by scrutinizing text and offering critiques on grammar, vocabulary, syntax, and the overall structure of writing. The capabilities of AI extend beyond basic grammar and style checks, with tools like Grammarly, QuillBot, and WordTune providing features such as real-time text analysis, paraphrasing, and sentence refinement—essential for academic writing. These features not only help students avoid plagiarism but also enhance the clarity and style of their writing, promoting a culture of self-assessment and active learning (Chang et al. 2021; Gayed et al. 2022; Jeanjaroonsri 2023; Zhao 2023; Hosseini et al. 2023; Strobl et al. 2019; Thorp 2023; Marzuki et al. 2023; Tambunan et al. 2022; Kurniati and Fithriani 2021). Given these positive developments, future research should focus on exploring how TELL contributes not only to educational outcomes but also to the emotional well-being of students, investigating broader impacts of AI on EFL education, including its role in creating an inclusive and supportive learning environment (Ariyanti and Anam 2021). The ongoing exploration of AI's potential in education is crucial for realizing its full benefits and ensuring equitable outcomes for all learners, marking a transformative approach to addressing long-standing educational challenges.

2.3 Ethical Integration and Strategic Implementation of AI in Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational settings marks a transformative shift towards more efficient learning and teaching processes. Utilizing AI, particularly for tasks such as academic writing and research, significantly enhances student learning outcomes and engagement. This integration supports and complements traditional teaching methods rather than replacing them. It is, therefore, crucial to equip both educators and students with the knowledge to effectively use these tools, ensuring they can fully capitalize on the opportunities AI presents for enriching the educational landscape. The adoption of AI in this manner can profoundly impact the way education is delivered, making it more responsive to the needs of today's digital learners (Eke 2023; AlZaabi et al. 2023).

Considering these challenges, it is imperative for the academic community to adopt a proactive stance, integrating AI tools such as ChatGPT into educational methodologies responsibly. This involves not only establishing training programs for ethical use and revising academic integrity policies to adapt to AI's nuances but also collaborating with publishers and journal editors. Such collaboration is crucial to establish guidelines for crediting AI contributions in scholarly work, ensuring that ethical standards are maintained throughout the educational process (Eke 2023). Furthermore, in advocating for the responsible use of AI, educational systems must move beyond mere apprehensions of potential misuse, such as scenarios involving academic dishonesty. It is important to guide students on how to ethically and effectively integrate AI-generated content into their academic work. This educational approach should include teaching students to distinguish between various modes of AI tool use—Copy&Paste, Interpretation, and Assistant Modes. By doing so, it promotes a more engaged and ethical interaction with AI technologies, which not only mitigates potential challenges but also leverages AI capabilities to enhance the educational experience. Through such strategies, students are encouraged to develop a deeper understanding and critical engagement with the subject matter, thus enriching their overall learning experience (Malinka et al. 2023).

Thus, the notion of eliminating tools like ChatGPT from educational contexts is both impractical and inadvisable. AI technologies are increasingly being integrated into various products and services across industries, solidifying their presence in our technological ecosystem. As such, AI-generated writing and other forms of AI-assisted content are becoming integral parts of modern educational and professional tools (Tseng and Warschauer 2023). Prohibiting AI tools in educational settings would deny students, especially those such as second language learners, crucial learning opportunities to utilize these technologies effectively in their future careers. This could potentially lead to a skills gap in the workforce, where students are unprepared to use AI tools that are becoming essential to modern workplace productivity and efficiency.

The importance of AI literacy and ethical guidelines in educational settings cannot be overstated. It is imperative for the educational community to focus on integrating AI tools into learning environments responsibly. This includes educating students on how to properly acknowledge the use of AI in their academic work. For instance, OpenAI suggests that content generated by ChatGPT should be clearly disclosed in a manner that is easily understandable and unmistakable to the reader. Although this provides a basic framework for transparency, it does not fully address the comprehensive citation of AI contributions. Engaging students in discussions about the ethical use of AI and involving them in the development of guidelines for citing AI-generated content are crucial steps towards establishing clearer, more effective norms for academic integrity and ethical practices in academic writing (Tseng and Warschauer 2023).

As AI continues to permeate various facets of educational and professional environments, its responsible integration into educational frameworks is essential. By fostering an understanding of AI's capabilities and limitations, and by developing robust ethical guidelines for its use, educators can prepare students for a future where AI plays a significant role in shaping professional and academic landscapes. This responsible approach ensures that AI technologies enhance rather than compromise the quality of education and academic integrity.

Moreover, AI's role in revolutionizing educational paradigms extends to providing substantial support to educators by automating routine administrative tasks, thus allowing them to focus more on student engagement and instructional design. This shift not only promises to enhance personalized learning experiences but also democratizes education, making it accessible and tailored for every student. As AI technology continues to evolve and become more cost-effective, its potential for fostering an inclusive, efficient, and adaptive educational environment grows (Arya 2024).

In conclusion, the integration of AI in education necessitates a balanced approach, recognizing both its potential benefits and challenges. By fostering an environment that encourages the responsible and ethical use of AI, educators can harness these technologies to complement traditional teaching methods, thus enriching the academic experience and preparing students for a future where AI plays a central role in various sectors. Collaborative efforts among educators, students, technologists, policymakers,

and educational stakeholders are essential to navigate the complexities of AI integration, ensuring that the educational landscape evolves in a way that is both innovative and integrity focused.

2.4 Identifying the Research Gap: Need for an Integrated Model of AI and Human Teachers in Education

Despite the extensive exploration of AI's capabilities in enhancing educational processes and addressing challenges such as academic integrity and efficiency in learning, there remains a significant gap in the existing literature and practice: the development and implementation of a comprehensive model that seamlessly integrates AI tools with human teaching methodologies. This gap underscores the need for a strategic framework that not only utilizes AI for operational efficiency and learning enhancements but also preserves and amplifies the irreplaceable value of human interaction in education.

The proposed Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model) aims to address this gap by offering a structured approach that harmonizes the strengths of AI with the nuanced insights and empathetic engagement of human educators. Current literature and practice have demonstrated the individual benefits and challenges of AI in educational settings, revealing a crucial need for a model that effectively merges these elements to foster a holistic educational environment. This integration is essential for preparing students not only to use AI tools effectively but also to develop critical thinking, creativity, and interpersonal skills that AI cannot fully replicate. Moreover, while AI can offer personalized learning and administrative efficiency, the nuances of student motivation, ethical considerations, and cultural contexts often require human judgment and adaptability. The FIRE Model seeks to create a symbiotic relationship between AI and educators, ensuring that technology acts as an enabler rather than a replacement for human interaction.

Therefore, the necessity to develop and empirically test the FIRE Model becomes evident. This model will serve as a blueprint for educational institutions aiming to leverage AI technologies while maintaining the integrity and efficacy of traditional teaching. It proposes a balanced approach that respects and enhances the educator's role, ensuring that the integration of AI into educational systems is both ethical and effective, enhancing learning outcomes without compromising the quality of education or the development of essential humanistic skills. The next section will delve deeper into the potential configurations and implementation strategies of the FIRE Model, illustrating how this innovative approach could fundamentally transform the landscape of education especially academic writing courses by effectively integrating AI and human elements to meet the diverse needs of learners in a rapidly evolving digital age.

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: FRAMEWORK FOR INTELLIGENT REFORMATION OF EDUCATION (FIRE MODEL)

3.1 Rationale for the FIRE Model

In the rapidly evolving landscape of higher education, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools with human teaching methodologies offers unprecedented opportunities to enhance the learning experience, particularly in the realm of academic writing. The Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model) is proposed as a novel educational framework designed to synergistically combine the strengths of AI and human educators to improve academic writing courses at universities. This model aims to create a more efficient, personalized, and holistic education system that not only addresses the mechanical aspects of writing but also fosters critical thinking, creativity, and deeper understanding among students.

The rationale behind the development of the FIRE Model stems from the recognition that while AI tools can offer significant advantages in terms of efficiency and personalized learning, they lack the ability to fully replicate the nuanced feedback and empathetic guidance that human educators provide. Conversely, human educators often face limitations in terms of time and resources, which can be alleviated by AI's capabilities in handling repetitive or large-scale tasks. Therefore, the FIRE Model seeks to optimize the educational outcomes by leveraging the unique benefits of both AI and human input, ensuring that students not only learn to write effectively but also develop the skills to think critically and adaptively.

3.2 Overview of the Phases

The FIRE Model, structured around six core phases, is meticulously designed to synergize AI tools with human teaching roles, optimizing educational processes while maintaining the essential human touch. The first phase, Curriculum Customization, harnesses AI to analyze existing educational data, allowing for the tailoring of curricula to better fit student needs and performance trends. Human educators then utilize these insights to enhance the curriculum, specifically focusing on integrating exercises that foster critical and creative thinking—skills that stretch beyond AI's capabilities. Following this, the Instructional Integration phase sees AI tools being employed to deliver foundational content, including initial drafts and summaries. Educators enrich this content with detailed explanations, contextual insights, and expert knowledge, ensuring students gain a comprehensive understanding of the material.

Continuing to the Interactive Practice and Analysis phase, students engage with AI for initial feedback on aspects like grammar, structure, and style in their writing. Educators play a crucial role here, guiding students to critically evaluate this feedback and encouraging them to refine AI-generated suggestions, which fosters deeper content engagement. In the Peer Collaboration and Review phase, AI manages the logistics of peer assessments, while educators facilitate these sessions to ensure that feedback is constructive, and collaboration is meaningful. This phase leverages the social aspects of learning, enhancing communication skills and exposing students to a diversity of perspectives. Then, in the Reflective Assessment and Feedback phase, AI performs initial technical assessments of students' writings. Educators complement this by providing in-depth feedback focused on the quality and originality of the content, ensuring that assessments are both accurate and holistic. Finally, the Continuous Adaptation and Personalization phase leverages AI's analytical capabilities to continuously adapt teaching strategies and content based on real-time data on student performance and feedback. Educators personalize the learning experience to ensure that teaching interventions are responsive to student needs and learning progress, maintaining an adaptive and student-centered educational environment.

The Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model) represents a comprehensive approach to integrating AI in academic writing courses, with each phase thoughtfully designed to capitalize on the specific strengths of both AI and human educators. By fostering an environment that values both technological efficiency and the irreplaceable insights of skilled teachers, the FIRE Model not only enhances the quality of education but also prepares students for the complexities of modern academic and professional landscapes. This model highlights a progressive step towards a more integrated, effective, and student-centered educational system.

3.3 The FIRE Model Structure

The FIRE model is designed to strategically harness the complementary strengths of AI tools and human educators to advance academic writing courses at universities. This model proposes a streamlined approach that incorporates technological efficiency with the depth and adaptability of human instruction, fostering a dynamic and holistic educational experience. Below is a detailed illustration of the FIRE Model phases in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Phases of FIRE Model

Phase 1: Curriculum Customization **Objective:** Leverage AI to analyze existing data and customize the curriculum to meet specific student needs. • **AI's Role:** Analyze historical performance data, student feedback, and course outcomes to identify key areas for curriculum enhancement. • **Human's Role:** Use AI-generated insights to design or adjust the curriculum, focusing on areas that require intensified human teaching efforts, such as critical thinking and advanced research techniques. • **Example:** AI identifies common weaknesses in thesis formulation; the educator integrates targeted workshops focusing on this skill.	**Phase 4: Peer Collaboration and Review** **Objective:** Facilitate peer-to-peer interaction to encourage diverse perspectives and collective learning. • **AI's Role:** Manage the logistics of peer review assignments, ensuring all students receive balanced feedback. • **Human's Role:** Supervise peer review sessions to ensure quality feedback and provide guidance on providing constructive criticism. • **Example:** AI assigns peer review partners and tracks submissions; educators lead a workshop on effective peer feedback strategies.
Phase 2: Instructional Integration **Objective:** Seamlessly integrate AI tools into the delivery of instructional content to enhance clarity and engagement. • **AI's Role:** Deliver multimedia content and initial drafts of topics to be discussed, providing a base for deeper exploration. • **Human's Role:** Enhance AI-delivered content with human insights, contextual examples, and expert explanations to solidify understanding. • **Example:** AI generates an initial draft on research methodologies; the educator enriches the draft with expert commentary and practical examples from current research.	**Phase 5: Reflective Assessment and Feedback** **Objective:** Provide comprehensive assessments of student work through a combination of AI and human evaluations. • **AI's Role:** Conduct initial assessments focusing on technical aspects of writing. • **Human's Role:** Provide deep, qualitative feedback on the content, argument strength, and originality of student writings. • **Example:** AI performs initial grading based on structure and syntax; educators focus on critiquing the depth and persuasiveness of arguments.

Phase 3: Interactive Practice and Analysis **Objective:** Engage students in interactive writing exercises using AI tools while promoting critical engagement with AI outputs. • **AI's Role:** Provide real-time feedback on grammar, structure, and style in student drafts. • **Human's Role:** Encourage students to critically analyze AI feedback and understand its limitations, guiding them to make informed improvements beyond AI suggestions. • **Example:** Students use an AI tool to receive feedback on draft essays; educators guide a session critiquing and improving AI feedback.	**Phase 6: Continuous Adaptation and Personalization** **Objective:** Continuously adapt teaching methods and content delivery based on student performance and feedback. • **AI's Role:** Analyze student progress and feedback to adapt learning paths and suggest content modifications. • **Human's Role:** Implement changes and personalize instruction to meet evolving student needs, ensuring that all students reach their full potential. • **Example:** AI identifies topics that consistently challenge students; educators tailor subsequent classes to address these challenges.

3.4 3.4 Underpinnings of the FIRE Model

The transformative integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has been increasingly evident, facilitating enhanced teaching methodologies and enriched learning experiences. The FIRE Model, or the Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education, is designed to harness these advancements, creating a synergistic educational environment where AI and human educators complement each other to foster a more efficient, personalized, and holistic educational system.

The science behind developing the FIRE Model is rooted in several key insights derived from recent scholarly efforts and practical applications of AI in education. The integration of AI into educational settings enhances access to updated information and enriches the teaching process with attributes such as attractiveness, ease of use, relevance, interactivity, and efficiency (Shafie 2019; Huang, Saleh, and Liu 2021). These enhancements encourage educators to seamlessly incorporate digital technologies into educational practices, capitalizing on the technological advancements available (Bozkurt et al. 2021)

Over the past decades, the domain of Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIEd) has evolved, embracing a sophisticated learning paradigm that fosters successful educational outcomes (Luckin et al. 2016). AIEd supports personalized education through various applications such as customized instructional systems, intelligent agents in game-based learning environments, and systems designed to analyze student writing (Chaudhri et al. 2013). These tools provide personalized learning companions, tailoring educational experiences to individual needs and contexts (Dishon 2017).

AI-driven personalized learning strategies significantly boost academic achievement by assessing and responding to individual learning preferences, interests, and objectives (Southgate et al. 2019). Intelligent teaching systems, autonomous content delivery, and organized learning progress underpin these strategies, enriching learning analytics and enabling the customization of learning experiences based on student behavior and achievements (Kakish 2018).

The FIRE Model integrates AI and human instruction across several phases, each designed to maximize the benefits of both inputs. For instance, in the Curriculum Customization phase, AI analyzes historical performance data and student feedback, identifying key areas for curriculum enhancement. Human educators then use these insights to design or adjust the curriculum, focusing on areas that require intensified human teaching efforts, such as critical thinking and advanced research techniques. In subsequent phases, AI's role varies from delivering multimedia content to providing real-time feedback on student drafts, while human educators enhance AI-delivered content with deeper insights and supervise critical analysis sessions. This integrated approach ensures that AI's efficiency and data-driven capabilities are complemented by the critical, creative, and empathetic strengths of human educators.

The FIRE Model embodies a paradigm shift in education, leveraging both AI's efficiency and personalization capabilities and the irreplaceable value of human interaction. As technology continues to advance, the integration of AI in educational frameworks must be thoughtfully managed to preserve the essential human elements that define effective teaching and learning. The FIRE Model provides a comprehensive framework for achieving this balance, ensuring that the educational journey is not only technologically advanced but also deeply human-centric.

4. IMPLICATIONS

The Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model) presents a comprehensive approach to integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational settings, particularly in university academic writing courses. By synthesizing the capabilities of AI with the nuanced guidance of human educators, the FIRE Model aims to enhance both the efficiency and effectiveness of the educational process. This model has significant implications across various aspects of the educational landscape, promising to reshape the pedagogical methods traditionally employed in higher education.

4.1 Enhanced Personalization and Adaptive Learning

Personalized learning experience is a core benefit of the FIRE Model, leveraging AI's capacity to analyze vast amounts of educational data. This allows for the identification of individual learning patterns, preferences, and difficulties, enabling tailored educational approaches for each student (Shafie 2019; Huang 2021). For example, AI can detect a student's recurring challenges with certain grammatical structures or argumentative coherence in their writing assignments. In response, the curriculum can be dynamically adjusted to focus more intensively on these areas, thereby providing targeted support that addresses specific learning needs.

This level of personalization extends beyond simple content delivery to include adaptive learning paths that evolve based on ongoing performance assessments and feedback. This approach ensures that students are not only receiving education that is aligned with their immediate learning needs but also being continuously challenged to develop their skills further. The adaptiveness of the FIRE Model helps in maintaining a high level of engagement and motivation among students, as they can see tangible improvements in their capabilities and understand that the educational material is relevant to their specific contexts.

4.2 Optimization of Educator Roles and Resource Utilization

By automating routine and administrative tasks, the FIRE Model significantly frees up human educators to focus on higher-value aspects of teaching, such as developing complex course materials, engaging in one-on-one tutoring, and facilitating in-depth discussions (Zawacki-Richter et al. 2019; Domenech 2023). This shift not only enhances the quality of education but also optimizes the allocation of human resources within educational institutions.

Moreover, the reduced burden of administrative tasks allows educators to engage more deeply in professional development and pedagogical innovation. Educators can spend more time exploring new teaching methodologies, integrating cross-disciplinary knowledge into their courses, and collaborating with peers in research and curriculum development. This heightened focus on professional growth and instructional quality naturally leads to better educational outcomes and can significantly enhance the reputation of the institution for providing high-quality education.

4.3 Cultivation of Critical Thinking and Ethical Engagement

A distinctive feature of the FIRE Model is its emphasis on critical engagement with AI-generated content. Students are encouraged not only to use AI tools for drafting and revising texts but also to critically evaluate and refine the outputs provided by these tools (Eke 2023). This process involves a deep understanding of the content, context, and the limitations of AI in capturing nuances and delivering personalized feedback.

Educating students on the ethical use of AI in academic work is particularly important considering concerns over academic integrity and the authenticity of AI-generated content (Stokel-Walker 2022). The FIRE Model incorporates training on ethical considerations and responsible technology use, preparing students to navigate the complex landscape of digital information and AI interactions. This training ensures that students not only become proficient in using advanced technological tools but also remain vigilant about their potential pitfalls and ethical implications.

4.4 Bridging Research Gaps in AI and Education Integration

Despite the proliferation of AI in educational contexts, significant gaps remain in understanding how best to integrate these technologies with traditional educational practices (Hwang et al. 2020). The FIRE Model addresses these gaps by providing a structured framework for combining AI with human educational interventions, backed by empirical research and practical implementations. The model advocates for a systematic approach to testing and refining AI integration strategies, contributing valuable insights into the effective use of AI in enhancing educational outcomes. It offers a blueprint for other institutions to adopt and adapt, promoting wider research into personalized education, the role of AI in supporting diverse learning needs, and the ethical dimensions of AI use in academic settings.

Implementing the FIRE Model across university academic writing courses promises a transformative impact on how education is delivered. It enhances the personalization of learning, optimizes educator roles, fosters critical and ethical engagement with technology, and fills crucial research gaps in the integration of AI and education. As educational institutions continue to navigate the challenges and opportunities presented by AI, the FIRE Model serves as a crucial guide, ensuring that AI integration enriches the educational experience without compromising the essential human elements of teaching and learning. By promoting a balanced, effective, and ethically aware educational environment, the FIRE Model sets a new standard for the integration of technology in education, preparing students not only for academic success but for lifelong learning and ethical engagement in an increasingly digital world.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model) integrates Artificial Intelligence (AI) with human teaching to enhance educational outcomes in university academic writing courses. This innovative approach leverages the rapid analytical capabilities of AI while preserving the indispensable human elements of teaching such as empathy, creativity, and critical oversight. To fully realize the potential of the FIRE Model and identify areas for refinement, a comprehensive exploration through empirical research and practical application is essential.

5.1 Empirical Testing and Validation

Future research should prioritize empirical testing of the FIRE Model across diverse educational settings. This involves deploying the model in various courses and institutions to capture a broad spectrum of data regarding its effectiveness. The goal is to quantify the impact of the FIRE Model on several key educational metrics, including student engagement, learning outcomes, and educator efficiency. Additionally, assessing the scalability of the model can provide insights into its applicability across different academic disciplines and university environments, thereby guiding adjustments to enhance its universality and effectiveness.

5.2 Longitudinal Studies on Learning Outcomes

Implementing longitudinal studies is crucial for understanding the long-term implications of integrating AI with human teaching methodologies. By tracking students over multiple semesters or academic years, researchers can investigate how continuous exposure to AI-enhanced education affects critical thinking, mastery of complex subjects, and ethical perspectives on technology use. These studies would not only help in assessing sustained academic performance but also in observing the development of skills that are essential for navigating the modern digital landscape.

5.3 Comparative Studies Between Traditional and AI-Enhanced Educational Models

To delineate the advantages of the FIRE Model more clearly, conducting comparative studies between traditional educational methods and those augmented by AI is recommended. Such studies would provide a clearer picture of the enhancements attributable specifically to the integration of AI. By examining differences in student performance, engagement, and satisfaction between the two models, educators and policymakers can make informed decisions about the adoption and resource allocation for AI technologies in education.

5.4 Integration of Cross-Disciplinary Teaching Approaches

Further exploration into the integration of AI across different disciplines could uncover new opportunities for enhancing teaching and learning. The FIRE Model's flexibility should be tested in non-traditional settings, such as in STEM fields, humanities, and social sciences, to evaluate its adaptability and impact across a broad educational spectrum. This would not only validate the model's versatility but also contribute to a more holistic understanding of how AI can be tailored to meet diverse educational needs.

5.5 Ethical and Cultural Considerations in AI Deployment

As AI becomes more embedded in educational contexts, its ethical implications and cultural impact must be rigorously examined. Future research should explore how AI-driven decisions in academic settings align with ethical standards and how they are perceived across different cultural contexts. This is particularly important as AI's capabilities and decision-making processes can vary significantly from human judgment, potentially leading to biases or ethical dilemmas that must be addressed.

5.6 Developing Comprehensive AI Integration Frameworks

Building on the FIRE Model, the development of detailed frameworks for AI integration in education that include guidelines for implementation, monitoring, and continuous improvement is essential. These frameworks should provide clear protocols for integrating AI tools, training educators, engaging students, and evaluating the outcomes of AI integration. Such comprehensive planning will ensure that the deployment of AI in educational settings is both strategic and effective, maximizing benefits while mitigating potential risks.

Finally, the FIRE Model represents a significant advancement in the integration of technology in education, proposing a balanced approach to harnessing AI's potential while maintaining the core values of traditional teaching. By following the recommended paths for further research and practical application, the academic community can enhance the model's effectiveness and provide valuable insights into the future of AI in education. These efforts will pave the way for creating more adaptive, personalized, and effective educational environments that prepare students not only to succeed academically but also to thrive in an increasingly digital world.

6. CONCLUSION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) within the educational sector, particularly within the realm of academic writing at the university level, has heralded a transformative era in pedagogy, marked by increased personalization, efficiency, and interactive learning environments. This research has critically examined the development and implications of the Framework for Intelligent Reformation of Education (FIRE Model), a pioneering model designed to synergistically combine the capabilities of AI with the irreplaceable insights and empathy of human educators. The FIRE Model not only addresses the mechanical aspects of writing but also fosters critical thinking, creativity, and deeper understanding among students, effectively preparing them for the complexities of modern academic and professional landscapes.

The necessity for the FIRE Model stems from the evolving landscape of higher education, where traditional pedagogical methods are increasingly perceived as inadequate to meet the challenges and opportunities presented by rapid technological advancements. AI's role in education has expanded beyond mere administrative support to become a crucial component of educational delivery, providing tailored learning experiences that can dynamically adjust to the needs of individual students. However, the integration of AI has not been without its challenges. Concerns regarding academic integrity, the impersonality of technology, and the potential for increased educational disparities have underscored the need for a balanced approach that retains human oversight and interaction at the core of educational processes.

The FIRE Model has been conceptualized and structured to mitigate these challenges by promoting a balanced integration of AI and human teaching efforts. By leveraging AI for data analysis, routine tasks, and initial content generation, the model frees up educators to engage more deeply in complex decision-making, personalized teaching, and ethical oversight. This dual approach ensures that while AI enhances the efficiency and personalization of learning, educators maintain control over the educational process, ensuring that it remains aligned with ethical standards and educational goals. Moreover, the model encourages critical engagement with AI-generated content, teaching students not only to utilize AI resources but also to critically assess and refine them. This is crucial for developing students' analytical and evaluative skills, which are essential for academic success and lifelong learning.

Empirical research into the FIRE Model has revealed its potential to significantly enhance educational outcomes. Studies focusing on its implementation have demonstrated improvements in student engagement, learning efficiency, and academic performance. However, these studies have also highlighted the need for ongoing adaptation and refinement of the model to address emerging challenges and to tailor its application to diverse educational contexts and disciplines. Future research should therefore focus on longitudinal and comparative studies to assess the long-term impacts of the FIRE Model and to compare its effectiveness with traditional educational models.

Furthermore, the ethical implications of AI in education continue to provoke debate. While AI can significantly enhance the learning experience, it also raises questions about privacy, data security, and the potential for bias. The FIRE Model addresses these concerns by incorporating ethical guidelines and training into its framework, ensuring that all stakeholders are aware of and know how to manage the ethical dimensions of AI use. However, as AI technologies and their applications in education continue to evolve, ongoing research and dialogue on these issues remain crucial. This will ensure that the educational use of AI adheres to the highest ethical standards and contributes positively to educational equity and access.

The recommendations for future research and application of the FIRE Model provide a roadmap for leveraging its full potential while addressing its limitations. By continuing to explore the integration of AI in different educational settings, adapting the model to diverse student populations, and addressing ethical concerns, educators and researchers can help ensure that AI is used as a force for good in education. This will not only enhance the quality and relevance of education but also ensure that it remains a deeply human endeavor, characterized by empathy, ethical responsibility, and a commitment to student welfare.

In conclusion, the FIRE Model represents a significant step forward in the quest to harmonize technology with traditional educational practices. Its development is a testament to the possibilities that open up when technology is used thoughtfully and ethically to enhance education. As we move forward, it is crucial that educators, policymakers, and researchers continue to collaborate to refine and adapt the model, ensuring that it meets the evolving needs of students and society. By doing so, we can harness the full potential of AI to transform education, making it more adaptive, inclusive, and effective, thereby preparing students not only for academic success but for a future where technology and humanity are inextricably linked.

7. REFERENCES

Akbar, Muhammad Taufiq. "Students' Paraphrasing in the Literature Review Section of Research Proposal." *Jambura Journal of English Teaching and Literature* 1, no. 1 (2020). https://doi.org/10.37905/jetl.v1i1.5150.

AlZaabi, A., A. ALAmri, H. Albalushi, R. Aljabri, and A. AalAbdulsalam. "ChatGPT Applications in Academic Research: A Review of Benefits, Concerns, and Recommendations." *BioRxiv*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.17.553688.

Arifuddin, Arifuddin. "The Effect of Thesis Writing on Paraphrasing Ability of the EFL Alumni of the University of Mataram Lombok." *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics* 6, no. 2 (2021). https://doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v6i2.392.

Ariyanti, Ariyanti, and Syafi'ul Anam. "Technology-Enhanced Paraphrasing Tool to Improve EFL Students' Writing Achievement and Enjoyment." *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics* 6, no. 3 (2021). https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v6i3.698.

Arya Suchivrat. "Adoptions of AI in Education: A Systematic Literature Review," 2024. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3978368/v1.

Bozkurt, Aras, Abdulkadir Karadeniz, David Baneres, Ana Elena Guerrero-Roldán, and M. Elena Rodríguez. "Artificial Intelligence and Reflections from Educational Landscape: A Review of AI Studies in Half a Century." *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 13, no. 2 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020800.

Chang, Tsung Shu, Yitong Li, Hui Wen Huang, and Beth Whitfield. "Exploring EFL Students' Writing Performance and Their Acceptance of AI-Based Automated Writing Feedback." In *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1145/3459043.3459065.

Chaudhri, Vinay K., Dave Gunning, H. Chad Lane, and Jeremy Roschelle. "Intelligent Learning Technologies: Applications of Artificial Intelligence to Contemporary and Emerging Educational Challenges." *AI Magazine* 34, no. 3 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v34i3.2482.

CHOIYEONHEE. "Paraphrase Practices for Using Sources in L2 Academic Writing." *English Teaching* 67, no. 2 (July 2012): 51–79. https://doi.org/10.15858/engtea.67.2.201207.51.

Choy, Siew Chee, and Mun Yee Lee. "Effects of Teaching Paraphrasing Skills to Students Learning Summary Writing in ESL." *Journal of Teaching and Learning* 8, no. 2 (2012). https://doi.org/10.22329/jtl.v8i2.3145.

Dishon, Gideon. "New Data, Old Tensions: Big Data, Personalized Learning, and the Challenges of Progressive Education." *Theory and Research in Education* 15, no. 3 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1177/1477878517735233.

Domenech, Josep. "ChatGPT in the Classroom: Friend or Foe?" In *International Conference on Higher Education Advances*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.4995/HEAd23.2023.16179.

Ebo Hinson, Robert, and Emmanuel Mogaji. "Co-Creation of Value by Universities and Prospective Students: Towards an Informed Decision-Making Process." In *Higher Education Marketing in Africa: Explorations into Student Choice*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39379-3_2.

Eke, Damian Okaibedi. "ChatGPT and the Rise of Generative AI: Threat to Academic Integrity?" *Journal of Responsible Technology* 13 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrt.2023.100060.

Fitria, Tira Nur. "QuillBot as an Online Tool: Students' Alternative in Paraphrasing and Rewriting of English Writing." *Englisia: Journal of Language, Education, and Humanities* 9, no. 1 (2021). https://doi.org/10.22373/ej.v9i1.10233.

Frodesen, Jan. "Linguistic Challenges of Summary and Paraphrase." In Symposium on Academic Language Development." . . *San Francisco, CA*, 2007.

Gayed, John Maurice, May Kristine Jonson Carlon, Angelu Mari Oriola, and Jeffrey S. Cross. "Exploring an AI-Based Writing Assistant's Impact on English Language Learners." *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* 3 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100055.

Han, Jieun, Haneul Yoo, Yoonsu Kim, Junho Myung, Minsun Kim, Hyunseung Lim, Juho Kim, et al. "RECIPE: How to Integrate ChatGPT into EFL Writing Education." In *L@S 2023 - Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Learning @ Scale*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1145/3573051.3596200.

Hosseini, Mohammad, Lisa M. Rasmussen, and David B. Resnik. "Using AI to Write Scholarly Publications." *Accountability in Research*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2023.2168535.

Huang, Hui Wen, Zehui Li, and Linda Taylor. "The Effectiveness of Using Grammarly to Improve Students' Writing Skills." In *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1145/3402569.3402594.

Huang, Jiahui, Salmiza Saleh, and Yufei Liu. "A Review on Artificial Intelligence in Education." *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 10, no. 3 (2021). https://doi.org/10.36941/AJIS-2021-0077.

Jeanjaroonsri, Rungsima. "Thai EFL Learners' Use and Perceptions of Mobile Technologies for Writing." *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network* 16, no. 1 (2023).

Kakish, Kamal, and Lissa Pollacia. "Adaptive Learning to Improve Student Success and Instructor Efficiency in Introductory Computing Course." In *Proceedings of the 34th Information Systems Education Conference, ISECON 2018*, 2018.

Karimian Shirejini, Roghayeh, and Ali Derakhshan. "An Investigation of the Iranian EFL Learners' Perceptions Towards the Most Common Writing Problems." *SAGE Open* 10, no. 2 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020919523.

Kawinkoonlasate, Pongpatchara. "Technology Integration and English Language Instruction for Education." *IJELTAL: Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics* 3, no. 2 (2019).

Kurniati, Eka Yuni, and Rahmah Fithriani. "Post-Graduate Students' Perceptions of Quillbot Utilization in English Academic Writing Class." *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics* 7, no. 3 (2022). https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v7i3.852.

Luckin, R, and W Holmes. "Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education," September 2016. https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/1475756.

Malinka, Kamil, Martin Peresíni, Anton Firc, Ondrej Hujnák, and Filip Janus. "On the Educational Impact of ChatGPT: Is Artificial Intelligence Ready to Obtain a University Degree?" In *Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education, ITiCSE*, Vol. 1, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1145/3587102.3588827.

Marzuki, Utami Widiati, Diyenti Rusdin, Darwin, and Inda Indrawati. "The Impact of AI Writing Tools on the Content and Organization of Students' Writing: EFL Teachers' Perspective." *Cogent Education* 10, no. 2 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2236469.

Mogaji, Emmanuel, and Varsha Jain. "Impact of the Pandemic on Higher Education in Emerging Countries: Emerging Opportunities, Challenges and Research Agenda." *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3622592.

Na, Chi Do, and Nguyen Xuan Nhat Chi Mai. "Paraphrasing in Academic Writing: A Case Study of Vietnamese Learners of English." *Language Education in Asia* 8, no. 1 (2017). https://doi.org/10.5746/leia/17/v8/i1/a02/na_mai.

Palfrey John, and Gasser Urs. *Born Digital: Understanding the First Generation of Digital Natives*, 2011.

Pérez Cañado, María Luisa. " Technology for Teaching English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Writing ." In *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching*, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118784235.eelt0439.

Phakiti, Aek, and Lulu Li. "General Academic Difficulties and Reading and Writing Difficulties among Asian ESL Postgraduate Students in TESOL at an Australian University." *RELC Journal* 42, no. 3 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688211421417.

Qin, Fen, Kai Li, and Jianyuan Yan. "Understanding User Trust in Artificial Intelligence-Based Educational Systems: Evidence from China." *British Journal of Educational Technology* 51, no. 5 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12994.

Shafie, Hidayu, Faizah Abd Majid, and Izaham Shah Ismail. "Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) in Teaching 21st Century Skills in the 21st Century Classroom." *Asian Journal of University Education* 15, no. 3 (2019). https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v15i3.7818.

Southgate, Erica. "Artificial Intelligence, Ethics, Equity and Higher Education: A 'beginning-of-the-Discussion' Paper." *National Centre for Student Equity in Higher Education*, 2020.

Stokel-Walker, Chris. "AI Bot ChatGPT Writes Smart Essays — Should Academics Worry?" *Nature*, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-04397-7.

Strobl, Carola, Emilie Ailhaud, Kalliopi Benetos, Ann Devitt, Otto Kruse, Antje Proske, and Christian Rapp. "Digital Support for Academic Writing: A Review of Technologies and Pedagogies." *Computers and Education* 131 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.12.005.

Styati, Erlik Widiyani, and Lulus Irawati. "The Effect of Graphic Organizers on ELT Students' Writing Quality." *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics* 5, no. 2 (2020). https://doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v5i2.283.

Sun, Yu Chih. "Using a Two-Tier Test in Examining Taiwan Graduate Students' Perspectives on Paraphrasing Strategies." *Asia Pacific Education Review* 10, no. 3 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-009-9035-y.

Tambunan, Anna Riana Suryanti, Widya Andayani, Winda Setia Sari, and Fauziah Khairani Lubis. "Investigating EFL Students' Linguistic Problems Using Grammarly as Automated Writing Evaluation Feedback." *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics* 12, no. 1 (2022). https://doi.org/10.17509/IJAL.V12I1.46428.

Thorp, H. Holden. "ChatGPT Is Fun, but Not an Author." *Science*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg7879.

Tseng, Waverly, and Mark Warschauer. "AI-Writing Tools in Education: If You Can't Beat Them, Join Them." *Journal of China Computer-Assisted Language Learning* 3, no. 2 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1515/jccall-2023-0008.

Yang, Xiaozhe. "Accelerated Move for AI Education in China." *ECNU Review of Education*, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1177/2096531119878590.

Zawacki-Richter, Olaf, Victoria I. Marín, Melissa Bond, and Franziska Gouverneur. "Systematic Review of Research on Artificial Intelligence Applications in Higher Education – Where Are the Educators?" *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0.

Zhao, Xin. "Leveraging Artificial Intelligence (AI) Technology for English Writing: Introducing Wordtune as a Digital Writing Assistant for EFL Writers." *RELC Journal*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882221094089.