Soil Inertia and Shallow Basement Envelope Impact on Cellar Internal Temperature
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Abstract - This study deals with a three dimensional numerical study of heat transfer by conduction between the soil and the shallow basement in the city of Marrakech (Morocco). The heat transfer equation is solved by the finite difference method using the implicit alternative direction (ADI). The internal temperature of the cellar is computed by using energy balance equation in the cellar. The objective of the study is to evaluate the effects of the nature of the soil, the nature of the walls, the thickness of the walls of the cellar, the distance L far from the cellar on the internal temperature, and the heat exchanged between the soil and the shallow basement.
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Nomenclature -

L Distance delimiting the disrupted area along x axis, m
D Distance delimiting the disrupted area along y axis, m
H Depth of the water table, m
E Thickness, m
T temperature, °C
Cp Specific heat, J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹
ρ Density, kg/m³
λ Thermal conductivity, W/mK
ds Internal elementary surface of the wall, m²
t Time, s
hc The overall exchange coefficient, Wm⁻²K⁻¹
V Volume of shallow basement, m³
α Thermal diffusivity, m²/s
q Mass flow rate of fresh air, kg/s

SUBSCRIPTS –

a Air
amb Ambient
m Wall
p Floor

I. INTRODUCTION

The interest of the study of the heat transfer in the basement is to show the importance of the soil inertia in the hot regions such as Marrakech. Owing to the very high thermal capacity of the soil, the temperature of the ground is lower than that of the outdoor air in the summer and higher in the winter. Consequently, the heating and cooling energy of a building considerably sunk into ground is lower than that one above the ground.

Thus, accurate estimation of loads and energy consumption due to thermal interactions between a building and the ground is needed. This is a difficult task since this analysis needs to include the multi-dimensional nature of most earth-coupled heat transfer processes, large phase lags caused by soil thermal mass, limited practical ability to model soil thermal proprieties and the variability of soil temperature with ground surface conditions [1]. It is difficult to derive analytical solutions for 3D transient ground-coupled heat transfer even for the simple rectangular slab-on-ground problem. The only available 3D analytical expression is the steady-state solution derived by Delsante et al. [2] for a rectangular slab-on-ground with the assumption of a linear temperature distribution along the base (wall/ground interface) of the external walls. A semi-analytical method inter-zones temperature profile estimation (ITPE) has been developed by Krarti et al. [3]. It combines analytical solutions for regular-shaped components and numerical techniques to connect these components to construct the ground model. The ITPE method is used to calculate the approximate analytical solutions for the three-
dimension heat transfer between slab-on-grade floors and rectangular basements under steady-periodic conditions. W.R. Bahnfleth developed a detailed three-dimensional finite difference model for heat conduction from slab-on-grade floors and basements, including a detailed ground surface energy balance. [4]. M. P. Deru [5] used the two dimensional finite element to study the effects of moisture on the heat transfer from two basic types of building foundations, a slab-on-grade and a basement. A two-dimensional finite element heat and moisture transfer program is used to show the effects of precipitation, soil type, foundation insulation, water table depth, and freezing on the heat transfer from the building foundation. Recently M. Staniec and H. Nowak [6] determined the earth sheltered building’s heating and air-conditioning energy demand depending on the type of soil in which it is founded. For comparison, the corresponding results for the above ground building are presented.

In Marrakech, several studies have been made to analyze the heat exchange between the ground and a building. A. Abdelbaki generated a two-dimensional transfer function coefficients (TFC) for a slab-on-grade floor [7]. Later, TFC have been derived successfully for shallow basement [8, 9] and earth-sheltered building [10]. It has been shown [7, 9] that the results obtained using the transfer functions method fully agree with those obtained using the ITPE technique and the ADI technique. Recently L. Boukhattem [11, 12] used the ADI method to study the effects of the parameters of mortar on the heat exchange between the soil and the two buildings: buried building and semi-buried building.

In this work, the researchers have developed a computer code that allows them to study the effect of the nature of the soil, the nature of the walls of the underground building, the thickness of the walls, the distance L of the disrupted area on the internal temperature, and the heat exchanged between the soil and the shallow basement.

II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATIONS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

A. Configuration of the studied Shallow basement

The configuration treated in this work is illustrated in Figure 1. The basement has a rectangular shape with a width of 2b, a length of 2a and a depth of c. The wall and the floor are assumed to have identical thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity. The results presented in this section are obtained for: a=2 m; b=2 m; c=2 m and \( \epsilon_m = 0.26 \) m.

The overall heat transfer coefficient [3, 8, 11] is: \( h_c = 8.30 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1} \).

Because of the symmetry of the studied configuration, the study is reduced to a quarter of the shallow basement (Figure 2)

B. Mathematical model

The unsteady three-dimensional heat transfer equation can be written as follows:

\[
\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \lambda \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right)
\]

(1)

This equation was solved by an improved alternating-direction-implicit (ADI) finite-difference numerical method. A FORTRAN program was built for this analysis.
Minimum cell dimensions were established in accordance with the accuracy and stability criteria set forth by the numerical method employed in the study. Grid spacing in the present model in the vicinity of the building foundation ranged from 0.2 m to 0.3 m, while spacing at far-field and deep-ground boundaries was as large as 1.3 m.

C. Real climate of Marrakech

The simulation by the ADI method is made by considering the real ambient temperature of Marrakech. This temperature was measured by the weather station of AGDAL Marrakech. Figure 3 shows the variation of medium ambient temperature during one year (2008).

The water table at a depth of 13 m below the soil surface is maintained constant at 20 °C.

The Boundary conditions of the studied problem are:

- In the two planes of symmetry:
  \[
  \frac{\partial T_m}{\partial x}(x, y, z, t) \bigg|_{y=0} = 0
  \]  
  For \(-d \leq y \leq 0\) and \(-h \leq z \leq 0\)  
  \[
  \frac{\partial T_m}{\partial x}(x, y, z, t) \bigg|_{y=0} = 0
  \]  
  For \(-L \leq x \leq 0\) and \(-h \leq z \leq 0\)  
- Far from building:
  \[
  \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x}(x, y, z, t) \bigg|_{x=-L} = 0
  \]  
  For \(-d \leq y \leq 0\) and \(-h \leq z \leq 0\)

\[\frac{\partial T_s}{\partial y}(x, y, z, t) \bigg|_{y=-d} = 0\]

For \(-L \leq x \leq 0\) and \(-h \leq z \leq 0\)

- Interfaces internal air-side of the building:
  \[\lambda_s \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial z}(x, y, z, t) \bigg|_{z=-c} = h_i(T_s(x, y, -c, t) - T_{int})\]
  For \(-a \leq x \leq 0\) and \(-c \leq z \leq 0\)

Fig. 3. External air temperature

The Boundary conditions of the studied problem are:

- Air temperature and water table temperature:
  \[T(x, y, 0) = T_{amb}\text{ and } T(x, y, -h) = T_w\]
  For \(-L \leq x \leq 0\) and \(-d \leq y \leq 0\)
**Heat flow**

\[ \text{Heat flow} = \sum h \, dS \left( T_{\text{int}} - T_{\text{wall}} \right) \]  

(13)

**D. The local heat balance**

The energy conservation law for the internal medium of the shallow basement, which is considered as an open system is:

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{\delta Q}{\delta t} + \frac{\delta W}{\delta t} \]  

(14)

\( E \) is the total internal energy of the medium;
\( Q \) is the heat crossing the system boundary;
\( W \) is the total work that is a sum of the work done by the pressure forces on the control surface and the flow work.

Thus, the volume \( V \) of the control volume remain constant, and as a result, the boundary work is zero. The kinetic and potential energies of air in the cellar are neglected.

The air is considered as an ideal gas and the temperature of this one is uniform in the studied cellar. From the above assumptions, the equation 14 reduces to:

\[ \rho_a C_p a \frac{\partial T_{\text{int}}}{\partial t} = qC_{p_a} (T_{\text{amb}} - T_{\text{int}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} h_i \, dS (T_{\text{int}} - T_{\text{wall}}) \]  

(15)

**III. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION**

**A. Code validation**

The researchers have elaborated a code that calculates the exchanged heat flow between a soil and shallow basement in 2D and 3D configurations. For computer code validation, they compared the results obtained by the present code and those of M. Krarti [10] carried out for the same geometric which is characterized by depth \( b=3 \) m, width \( a=2 \) m, total width \( L=9 \) m and for thick walls \( e=0.4 \) m in 3D configuration.

A non-uniform mesh in both directions, constructed using a geometric progression, was adopted with 36×38 grid dimension. Figure 4 shows good agreement between the results obtained by the proposed code and those of S. Amjad.

The heat flow is calculated by the following equation:

\[ \text{Heat flow} = \sum h \, dS \left( T_{\text{int}} - T_{\text{wall}} \right) \]

**B. Effect of the distance \( L \)**

At a large distance from the foundation, the effect of the shallow basement on the ground becomes negligible. To determine this distance, the researchers recognized several simulations by varying the distances \( L \) each time. Table 1 shows the monthly heat flows for each distance. This result indicated that little or no change in predicted heat flow occurs when the far-field boundary is at 8 m or more away from the building.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>heat flow ( L=2 ) m</th>
<th>heat flow ( L=4 ) m</th>
<th>heat flow ( L=6 ) m</th>
<th>heat flow ( L=8 ) m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>297.18</td>
<td>312.74</td>
<td>317.30</td>
<td>315.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>214.30</td>
<td>225.51</td>
<td>228.80</td>
<td>227.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>129.04</td>
<td>135.79</td>
<td>137.77</td>
<td>137.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td>105.97</td>
<td>107.51</td>
<td>107.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>-7.49</td>
<td>-7.89</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>-1.00E+02</td>
<td>-1.06E+02</td>
<td>-1.07E+02</td>
<td>-1.07E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JU</td>
<td>-205.34</td>
<td>-216.10</td>
<td>-219.24</td>
<td>-218.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-163.57</td>
<td>-172.14</td>
<td>-174.65</td>
<td>-173.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>-31.26</td>
<td>-32.90</td>
<td>-33.38</td>
<td>-33.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>118.40</td>
<td>124.60</td>
<td>126.41</td>
<td>125.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>193.23</td>
<td>203.34</td>
<td>206.31</td>
<td>205.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Effect of soil types

To evaluate the soil type effect on the cellar internal temperature sensitivity to changes in the external environment, the researchers have performed simulations for three different soil types whose characteristics are presented in Table II.

Table 2. Characteristics of the studied soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of soil</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity W/mK</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity ((10^{-7}) m^2/s)</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 shows the change in the internal temperature of the cellar for three types of studied soil. The thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of wall and floor are \(\lambda_m=1.73 \text{ W/mK}\), and \(\alpha_m=9.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}\), respectively.

In general, it is observed that there is stability in the internal temperature of the cellar throughout the year that can be explained by the considerable effect of inertia of the soil. This stability is even more important for soil 3 which is characterized by low conductivity and low thermal diffusivity.

In summer time, the outside temperature increases to 45 °C while that of the interior does not exceed 25 °C for sand and 23 °C for clay with 0.27% moisture content.

One can see a difference in indoor temperature between soil 2 and soil 3. This is due to the moisture content that influences the internal temperature of the shallow basement (Figure 6).

During the winter period, the researchers found out that the cellar temperature does not descent below 16 °C for the three soil types, while the outer temperature recorded negative values in the range of -1 °C. They also found out that the internal temperature provides stability throughout the day with a small amplitude of 2 °C for soil type 1 (clay) and 3 °C for soil type 3 (sand) for a weather temperature that have an amplitude of 12 °C (Figure 7).

Therefore, when analyzing the type of soil surrounding the building one should use accurate measurements of soil thermal proprieties (conductivity and diffusivity).

D. Effect of the material type of the walls

The determination of effect of construction materials on the internal temperature simulations was made for soil type 2 and for two building materials: reinforced
concrete and hollow brick (Table III). The researchers observed that there is no significant difference between estimated temperatures for both materials. It can be deduced later that there is no effect on the nature of the walls of the internal temperature of the cellar for the studied cases (Figure 8).

Table 3. Characteristics of the studied walls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material type of the walls</th>
<th>Hollow brick</th>
<th>Reinforced concrete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity W/mK</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity (10^{-7}) m²/s</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>9.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Hourly variation of indoor and outdoor temperature for one week of the summer period for two wall types.

E. Effect of the thickness of the walls

Figure 9 and Figure 10 are obtained for a soil type 2, reinforced concrete wall and for different wall thicknesses in the real climate of Marrakech. These results show that the influence of the wall thickness is not important; this is mainly due to the predominance of the thermal inertia of the soil compared to the walls cavity.

Fig. 9. Hourly variation of the internal temperature for two

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, the researchers have developed a detailed FORTRAN code to calculate three dimensional heat transfers for an envelope in contact with the ground. It allowed them to calculate the exchange of heat between the soil and the basement through the use of finite difference method in three dimensions and also calculate the internal temperature of the cellar, in the real climate of Marrakech.

We demonstrated the significant effect of the inertia of the soil on the stabilization of the internal temperature of the cellar. Indeed, the average temperature inside the shallow basement varies between 16°C and 24°C throughout the year, with a magnitude of 8°C. On the other hand, the ambient temperature is between 4°C and 34°C with the amplitude of 30°C.

This inertia is largely influenced by the thermal characteristics of the soil. In fact; the internal temperature is more stable with the ground having a low thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity. During the summer season, the internal temperature does not exceed 24°C (Soil 1) and 25°C (soil 3) when the ambient temperature reaches 44°C. During the winter season, the ambient temperature descend down to -2°C, while the internal temperature did not descend below 15°C (soil 1) and 16°C (soil 3).

The study of the effect of the thickness of the wall and the wall-type shows that there is a difference of less than 1°C between the different studied situations (thickness and type of wall). So we can conclude that
the type of wall and its thickness has little influence on the internal temperature of the cellar.

In conclusion, we deduce that the semi-buried building reduces the cooling and the heating loads through the stabilization of the internal temperature. On the other hand, the thermal characteristics of the ground are a great influence on the temperature inside the building in comparison to the type and thickness of the walls.
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